VIA COURIER

IN THE MATTER OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE DEALERS ACT, 2002, S.O. 2002, CHAPTER 30, SCHEDULE B

and Regulations, as amended

- and -

IN THE MATTER OF the registration of ACE AND SPADES AUTO INC., KAREEM ALFREJI, and ABDULFATAH KASIM

TO: Ace and Spades Auto Inc. o/a A & S Auto Group
17-1400 Aimco Blvd
Mississauga, ON
L4W 1B2

AND TO: Kareem Alfreji

AND TO: Abdulfatah Kasim

NOTICE OF PROPOSAL TO REVOKE REGISTRATION

Take notice that pursuant to Section 9 of the *Motor Vehicle Dealers Act, 2002* (the "Act") the Registrar is proposing to revoke the registration of Ace and Spades Auto Inc. as a motor vehicle dealer and Kareem Alfreji, and Abdulfatah Kasim as motor vehicle salespersons under the Act.

REASONS

The intention and objective of the Act is to protect the public interest. In doing so, the Act prohibits the making of false statements in an application for registration or renewal and requires that Registrants be financially responsible in the conduct of business and that they carry on business in accordance with the law and with integrity and honesty. Kareem Alfreji and Abdulfatah Kasim's past conduct are inconsistent with the intention and objective of the Act, and therefore warrants their and Ace and Spades Auto Inc.'s disentitlement to registration under the Act.

PARTICULARS

The reasons for this proposal are:

Background and Parties

1. Ace and Spades Auto Inc. o/a A & S Auto Group (the "Dealer") is a registered motor vehicle dealer under the Act and was first registered on or about March 23, 2015. The Dealer was

previously operated under the name “12 Star Auto Sale and Repair Inc.” between August 21, 2014 to June 16, 2016, and “Autovia” between June 14, 2016 to May 13, 2021.

2. Kareem Alfreji (“Kareem”) is a registered motor vehicle salesperson under the Act. Kareem has been registered since on or about March 23, 2015. Kareem is a director and person in charge of the Dealer.

3. Ali Alfreji (“Ali”) was previously a registered motor vehicle salesperson under the Act. Ali was registered as a salesperson to the Dealer from on or about June 22, 2015 to October 22, 2017. Ali is not currently registered under the Act. Ali is the son of Kareem. Ali is an interested person with respect to the Dealer.

4. Yousef Alfreji (“Yousef”) was never a registered motor vehicle salesperson under the Act. Yousef is the son of Kareem. Yousef is an interested person with respect to the Dealer.

5. Abdulfatah Kasim (“Abdulfatah”) is a registered motor vehicle salesperson under the Act. Kasim has been registered since on or about June 26, 2023. Kasim is registered as a used car manager to the Dealer.

PAST CONDUCT

Transactions 1-5: False Transfers to AA

6. In or around October 2021, an inspection of the Dealer by an inspector employed by the Ontario Motor Vehicle Industry Council (“OMVIC”) was conducted.

7. There was a delayed response in OMVIC’s request for an inspection.

8. Eventually, Ali met with the inspector and provided a box of documents for the purposes of the inspection, which were not stored on-site as required by section 54 of O. Reg 333/08.

9. The documents, among other things, indicated that the Dealer purchased five vehicles from an auction that were declared a total loss:

    a. Transaction 1: 2014 Ford Fusion (VIN***0786);

    b. Transaction 2: 2009 Honda Civic (VIN***8460);

    c. Transaction 3: 2005 Acura TSX (VIN***2401);

    d. Transaction 4: 2007 Honda Civic (VIN***1044); and

    e. Transaction 5: 2005 Honda Pilot (VIN*** 3196).

10. The Dealer failed to transfer title for the vehicles in transactions one to three to its Registrant Identification Number (“RIN”) within six days, contrary to section 11(2) of the Highway Traffic Act (“HTA”).

11. The Dealer produced bills of sale which indicated that the Dealer sold all five vehicles to an individual named “AA”.

12. Each of the bills of sale contained Kareem's name and OMVIC registration number, as well as Ali's OMVIC registration number. Ali was not registered at the time of any of the transactions and was therefore acting as an unregistered salesperson, contrary to section 4(3) of the Act.

13. Documents were submitted to the Ministry of Transportation Ontario ("MTO") on the Dealer's behalf to transfer title of the vehicles to "AA".

14. After title to the vehicles were transferred to "AA", they were transferred to a subsequent consumer in a relatively short period of time.

15. The transfers and documentation were false. "AA" did not purchase any of the five vehicles, nor did he sell it to a subsequent consumer.

16. As a result of their conduct, Kareem and the Dealer were each charged with five counts of:

    a. Permitting an unregistered salesperson contrary to section 4(3) of the Act;

    b. Falsifying information contrary to section 26 of the Act;

    c. Furnishing false information contrary to section 27 of the Act;

    d. Engaging in an unfair practice contrary to sections 14(1) and 17(1) of the Consumer Protection Act, 2002 (the "CPA");

    e. Failing to keep required documents contrary to section 56 of O. Reg 333/08.

Transactions 6-8: Vehicles Sold by Yousef Directly

*Transaction 6: 2010 Honda Civic (VIN: **** 4680)*

17. On or before May 11, 2021, the Dealer purchased a 2010 Honda Civic from an auctioneer.

18. On May 11, 2021, Yousef sold the vehicle to a consumer, "LB," who was the brother of Yousef's then girlfriend. LB paid Yousef directly and did not sign or receive any documentation.

*Transaction 7: 2008 Nissan Sentra (VIN: **** 5455)*

19. On or about November 22, 2021, the Dealer purchased a 2008 Nissan Sentra from an auctioneer.

20. The Dealer did not transfer title of the 2008 Nissan Sentra into its RIN until December 7, 2021, contrary to section 11(2) of the Highway Traffic Act.

21. On or around December 7, 2021, Yousef sold the 2008 Nissan Sentra to "VB", his then girlfriend. VB did not sign or receive any documents for the purchase of the 2010 Honda Civic from the Dealer.

22. VB immediately encountered problems with the vehicle. When she complained to Yousef, she was told that the problems were a result of her being a woman that does not know how to drive.

23. For the 2010 Honda Civic and 2008 Nissan Sentra, the Dealer presented a false bill of sale to OMVIC. The false bills of sale contained Kareem's name, Ali's former registration number, and LB and VB's signatures. The information was false since the consumers only dealt with Yousef and did not sign any documentation.

24. Documents submitted to the MTO to transfer the vehicles to LB and VB were also false, since neither consumer signed any documentation.

*Transaction 8: 2010 BMW 328i (VIN: **** 9645)*

25. On or around May 3, 2022, the Dealer purchased a 2010 BMW 328i from an auctioneer.

26. The Dealer did not transfer title of the 2010 BMW 328i into its RIN until June 6, 2022, contrary to section 11(2) of the Highway Traffic Act.

27. On or around June 6, 2022, Yousef, acting on behalf of the dealer, sold the 2010 BMW to a consumer, "LF."

28. During the sale transaction, Yousef falsely represented that the vehicle was in good mechanical condition. After purchasing the vehicle, the consumer immediately experienced condition issues with the vehicle.

29. On the same day, someone on behalf of the Dealer transferred title to the 2010 BMW 328i to a consumer, "LF."

30. A day after a search warrant was executed by OMVIC investigators, the Dealer supplied a false bill of sale indicating the vehicle was sold to LF on June 3, 2022. The bill of sale contained Kareem's name and Ali's terminated registration number.

31. On each of transactions six to nine, the Dealer permitted an unregistered salesperson to trade on its behalf by allowing Yousef and/or Ali to act on the trade without being registered.

32. As a result of their conduct relating to transactions six to nine, the Dealer and Kareem were each charged with:

    a. Retaining the services of an unregistered salesperson contrary to section 4(3) of the Act;

    b. Falsifying information contrary to section 26 of the Act;

    c. Furnishing false information contrary to section 27 of the Act;

    d. Engaging in an unfair practice contrary to sections 14(1) and 17(1) of the Consumer Protection Act, 2002 (the "CPA"); and

    e. Failing to keep required documents contrary to section 56 of O. Reg 333/08.

Transactions 9-13: Supplying an Unregistered Dealer and/or False Transfers to MK

33. The Dealer purchased the following five motor vehicles:

    a. Transaction 9: 2008 Acura ATL (VIN: ****0615);

b. Transaction 10: 2011 Honda Civic (VIN: **** 4725);

c. Transaction 11: 2009 Acura TSX Blue (VIN:**** 1826);

d. Transaction 12: 2013 Acura ILX Silver (VIN: ****1215); and

e. Transaction 13: 2008 Honda Civic Red (VIN:****4213).

34. The Dealer failed to transfer title of the vehicles identified in transactions 9-12 into its RIN within six days, contrary to section 11(2) of the Highway Traffic Act:

35. A day after a search warrant was executed, the Dealer provided OMVIC investigators with bills of sale indicating it sold all five vehicles to “MK”.

36. Each of the bills of sale indicated Kareem was the salesperson on the transaction but contained Ali’s former registration number. As a result, the Dealer permitted an unregistered salesperson to trade on its behalf.

37. Title to the vehicles in transactions 9-12 were transferred to MK and then subsequently transferred to a consumer. This pattern is indicative of a dealer supplying vehicles to an unregistered motor vehicle dealer or a “curbsider.”

38. The eventual purchaser of the 2008 Acura TL identified Ali as the seller and the eventual purchaser of the 2009 Acura TSX identified Ali or Yousef as the seller, not MK. Both consumers were also informed that the vehicles being purchased were in good condition, but almost immediately encountered issues with the vehicle.

39. As a result, the Dealer and Kareem created false documentation with respect to the trades of the 2008 Acura TL and 2009 Acura TSX which did not take place. The false documentation created the appearance that an end consumer purchased the vehicle from an individual relying on the personal use exemption in the Act. Alternatively, the Dealer and Kareem supplied an unregistered motor vehicle dealer.

40. For transactions 9-12, the Dealer and Kareem were charged with:

a. Supplying an unregistered dealer, contrary to s. 4(4) of the Act; and

b. Failure to keep records, contrary to s. 56 of O. Reg 333/08.

41. Title to the 2008 Honda Civic (Transaction 13) was transferred directly from the Dealer to Yousef on June 18, 2021. It was then transferred to a consumer on June 20, 2021. Therefore, the bill of sale indicating the vehicle was sold to MK was falsified.

42. As a result of their conduct with respect to the 2008 Honda Civic, the Dealer and Kareem were charged with:

a. Supplying an unregistered motor vehicle dealer contrary to section 4(4) of the Act;

b. Falsifying information contrary to section 26 of the Act;

c. Furnishing false information contrary to section 27 of the Act;

d. Engaging in an unfair practice contrary to sections 14(1) and 17(1) of the CPA; and

e. Failing to keep required documents contrary to section 56 of O. Reg 333/08.

Transactions 14-15: Supplying and Unregistered Dealer and/or False Transfers to YAK

43. In early January of 2022, the Dealer purchased the following vehicles which were branded as salvage:

    a. 2013 Ford Fusion VIN 6401; and

    b. 2009 Acura TSX (VIN: **** 3497).

44. On February 8, 2022, the Dealer submitted documents to the MTO to transfer the 2013 Ford Fusion to an individual, “YAK.” The vehicle was then transferred to a consumer on February 23, 2022.

45. On March 11, 2022, the Dealer submitted documents to the MTO to transfer the 2009 Acura TSX to YAK. The vehicle was then transferred to a consumer on April 1, 2022.

46. A search warrant was executed on August 11, 2023. The Dealer did not have any documents on site.

47. The Dealer was given additional time to produce documents, but failed to provide any documentation relating to the 2013 Ford Fusion or 2009 Acura TSX.

48. The Dealer either falsely transferred both vehicles to YAK, or if the vehicle was transferred to YAK, the dealer was supplying an unregistered motor vehicle dealer.

49. As a result of their conduct, the Dealer and Kareem were charged with:

    a. Supplying an unregistered dealer contrary section 4(4) of the Act; and

    b. Failure to keep records contrary to section 56 of O. Reg 333/08.

Obstructing First Search Warrant

50. On or around August 11, 2023, OMVIC investigators attended the Dealer’s premises and advised Kasim, who was showing a vehicle to a prospective consumer, that a search warrant was being executed.

51. Kasim falsely indicated that the Dealer was closed but eventually called Yousef.

52. Once present, Yousef indicated that he did not know where documents itemized in the search warrant were kept.

53. Investigators checked the premises and confirmed that documents were not present. The investigators confirmed they would attend the premises the following day to retrieve originals of the documents itemized in the search warrant.

54. On the following day, the investigators met with Kasim and Yousef who provided a box of documents which were mostly poor-quality photocopies and did not contain financial records such as receipts.

55. The investigators requested that Yousef, Kasim and the Dealer comply with the search warrant, but no further documentation was provided.

Transaction 16: 2009 BMW 328i

56. In or around August of 2023, a consumer ("LI") was informed by Ali that the Dealer could repair a scratch on her vehicle, a 2009 BMW 328i (VIN: ****7242). LI provided possession of the vehicle to Ali for the repairs to be carried out.

57. LI followed up with Ali about the status of the repairs and was eventually told that Ali had unilaterally made the decision to "scrap" the vehicle due to condition issues. Ali's representation that the 2009 BMW 328i needed to be scrapped due to condition issues was false.

58. In or around late-August, 2023, an application for vehicle transfer was submitted to MTO to transfer the 2009 BMW to the Dealer.

59. In or around late-August 2023, an application for vehicle transfer was submitted to MTO to transfer the vehicle to an unrelated consumer.

60. Ali agreed to procure a new vehicle for LI as compensation for the 2009 BMW 328i and money that Ali had previously owed to LI. As elaborated below.

61. LI did not sign any documentation, consent to, or have prior knowledge of the vehicle being transferred to the Dealer.

62. Ali was not registered to trade on behalf of the Dealer.

63. As a result, the Dealer and Kareem were both charged with:

    a. Retaining the services of an unregistered salesperson contrary to section 4(3) of the Act;

    b. Falsify Documents contrary to section 26 of the Act;

    c. Furnishing false documents contrary section 27 of the Act;

    d. Making a misrepresentation contrary to sections 14 and 17 of the CPA; and

    e. Failure to keep records contrary to section 56 of O. Regs 333/08.

64. With respect to the 2009 BMW, Ali was charged with:

    a. Acting as an unregistered dealer contrary to s. 4(1)(a) of the Act; and

    b. Engaging in an unfair practice contrary to ss 14(1) and 17(1) of the CPA.

Transaction 17: 2019 Chevrolet Blazer

65. In or around August of 2023, Ali represented to LI that he had purchased her an alternative vehicle which was a 2019 Chevrolet Blazer (VIN: ****8455) as compensation for the BMW and monies owed.

66. Ali represented to LI that she was required to sign transfer documents for the vehicle at another dealership, “AH”.

67. On or around August 12, 2024, LI attended AH with Ali whom she interacted with during the transaction. Despite being advised by Ali that he had purchased the vehicle for her as compensation for the 2009 BMW 328i and monies owed, Ali and Kasim arranged for LI to sign a used vehicle purchase agreement, purchasing the vehicle. LI was also made to sign a financing contract for the vehicle with a total obligation of $66,138.80.

68. The documentation indicated that LI was purchasing the vehicle from a registered motor vehicle dealer, AQP.

69. The vehicle was sold to AQP on August 12, 2023, from AH. On the same date, it was transferred to AH from the Dealer.

70. Despite being informed that the vehicle 2019 Chevrolet Blazer was being provided to her in exchange for the 2009 BMW 328i and monies owed, LI was effectively tricked into purchaser the Dealer’s vehicle at her own expense.

71. LI was not provided with any paperwork for the 2019 Chevrolet Blazer, nor did she ever see the vehicle.

72. LI made inquiries of the vehicle to Ali, who responded in a threatening manner. In addition, he stole her personal belongings including her phone. As a result of the conduct, Ali was arrested, charged under the Criminal Code and released on conditions.

73. In relation to the Chevrolet Blazer, the Dealer and Kareem were each charged with:

    a. Retaining the services of an unregistered salesperson contrary to s. 4(3) of the Act;

    b. Falsifying documents contrary to section26 of the Act;

    c. Furnishing false documents contrary section 27 of the Act;

    d. Making a misrepresentation contrary to sections 14 and 17 of the CPA;

    e. Failure to keep records contrary to section 56 contrary O. Regs 333/08; and

    f. Engaging in an Unconscionable practice contrary to sections 15, CPA.

74. In relation to the Chevrolet Blazer, Kasim was charged with

    a. Falsifying documents contrary to section 26 of the Act;

    b. Furnishing false documents contrary section 27 of the Act;

c. Engaging in an unfair practice contrary to sections 14 and17 of the CPA;

d. Making an unconscionable representation contrary to section 15 of the CPA; and

e. Obstructing a search warrant, contrary to section 19 of the Act.

75. In relation to the Chevrolet Blazer, Ali was charged with:

a. Acting as an unregistered salesperson contrary to section 4(1)(b) of the Act;

b. Acting as an unregistered dealer contrary to section 4(1)(a) of the Act;

c. Making a misrepresentation contrary to sections 14 and 17 of the Consumer Protection Act; and

d. Making an unconscionable representation contrary to section 15, CPA of the Consumer Protection Act.

Transaction 18: 2015 Chrysler 300

76. On or around August 17, 2023, the Dealer purchased a 2015 Chrysler 300 from an auctioneer.

77. The Dealer did not transfer title of the 2015 Chrysler 300 into its RIN until August 30, 2023, contrary to section 11(2) of the Highway Traffic Act

78. In or around October 26, 2023, Ali, on behalf of the Dealer arranged for the 2015 Chrysler 300 to be sold to a consumer (“XG”). Ali was not registered as a salesperson at the time of the transaction.

79. In or around late October 2023, XG met with Kasim at Square One Shopping Center to sign documentation relating to the sale and pick up the vehicle, contrary to section 28 of O. Reg 333/08 and 4(2) of the Act.

80. XG was never given a copy of the documentation relating to trade by Kasim or anyone else.

81. In relation to the Chrysler 300, the Dealer and Kareem were each charged with:

a. Retaining the services of an unregistered salesperson contrary to section 4(3) of the Act;

b. Falsifying documents contrary to section 26 of the Act;

c. Furnishing false documents contrary section 27 of the Act;

d. Making a misrepresentation contrary to sections 14 and 17 of the Consumer Protection Act;

e. Failing to provide a purchaser with a copy of a contract immediately after signing contrary to sections 40(9)(c) of O. Reg 333/08;

f. Failing to maintain documents contrary to section 56 of O. Reg 333/08; and

g. Inviting a member of the public to trade in a place other than where the dealer is registered contrary to s. 4(2)(b) of the Act.

82. In Relation to the Chrysler 300, Ali was charged with:

a. Acting as an unregistered salesperson contrary to section 4(1)(b) of the Act;

b. Acting as an unregistered dealer contrary to section 4(1)(a) of the Act; and

c. Making a misrepresentation contrary to sections 14 and 17 of the Consumer Protection Act.

Obstructing Second Search warrant

83. As a result of complaints made by the above-mentioned two consumers, a search warrant was obtained to review the Dealer's records regarding the vehicles.

84. On or around January 15, 2025, OMVIC investigators attended the Dealer's premises to execute the search warrant.

85. The premises were initially observed by an OMVIC investigator, who saw the premises having open bay doors. Yousef and Kasim were both present.

86. Upon arrival of a second OMVIC investigator, Yousef and the salesperson ran inside the bay doors and closed them. The investigators rang the doorbell and called the Dealer with no response.

87. Officers with Peel Regional Police attended the premises to assist with executing the search warrant. Occupants of the building were advised of their presence and told to open the doors, with no answer from within the premises.

88. OMVIC's legal department received a phone call from the Dealer's lawyer, accusing the OMVIC investigators of trespassing.

89. The Dealer, Yousef and Kasim clearly would not co-operate. As a result, the investigators vacated the premises.

90. In addition to the charges particularized above, Yousef was also charged with obstructing a search warrant, contrary to s. 19(9) of the Act.

Breaches of Conditions of Registration

91. On or around March 23, 2015, Kareem signed conditions of registration for the Dealer while it was named 12 Star Auto Sale and Repair Inc.

92. The conditions included:

a. Condition One: The Registrant will comply with all requirements of the MVDA and Ontario Regulation 333/08, the Code of Ethics in Ontario Regulation 332/08, the Ontario Motor Vehicle Industry Council ("OMVIC") Standards of Business Practice, 2010 and OMVIC Guidelines. as may be amended from time to time. The Registrant further agrees to read all correspondence and bulletins from OMVIC as released.

b. Condition Six: The Registrant will ensure that all personnel, agents, assignees or anyone acting on behalf of the Registrant to trade motor vehicles will be registered as a salesperson to the Registrant.

c. Condition Seven: The Registrant will not conduct business with any person acting as a motor vehicle dealer and trading in motor vehicles without first confirming that the person is registered as a motor vehicle dealer in accordance with the MVDA.

d. Condition Eight: The Registrant will operate exclusively from the location approved by the Registrar and will not invite the public to trade at a place other that the approved location, pursuant to section 28 of Ontario Regulation 333/08.

e. Condition 11: The Registrant will maintain all books and records at the Registrant's registered premises in accordance with the MVDA and pursuant to section 52 through to and including section 60 of Ontario Regulation 333/08.

f. Condition 13: The Registrant will maintain all books and records at the Registrant's registered premises in accordance with the MVDA and pursuant to section 52 through to and including section 60 of Ontario Regulation 333/08.

g. Condition 18: The Registrant will disclose all material facts about the motor vehicles for purchase or lease to its customers. The Registrant further agrees that notwithstanding whether or not the Registrant considers a fact to be material, the Registrant will comply with the disclosure obligation. The Registrant further agrees to disclose all material facts on the Bill of Sale, in writing. The Registrant will ensure compliance with the disclosure obligation notwithstanding whether or not the vehicle has been branded through MTO. For greater certainty, a material fact is one that if disclosed could affect the decision of a reasonable person to purchase or lease the vehicle or affect the purchase price. In the case of damaged vehicles, the Registrant further agrees to disclose as much detail as possible regarding the nature and severity of the damage. The Registrant undertakes to research the history of all the motor vehicles under trade to ensure all material facts are disclosed.

93. The Dealer’s conduct, as particularized in this proposal, breached each of the above-noted conditions of registration.

Generally

94. Kareem’s past conduct disentitles him to registration pursuant to section 6(1)(a)(ii) of the Act.

95. Kareem’s past conduct, as a director of the Dealer, disentitles the Dealer to registration pursuant to section 6(1)(d)(iii) of the Act.

96. Kasim’s past conduct disentitles him to registration pursuant to section 6(1)(a)(ii) of the Act.

97. Ali’s past conduct, as an interested person of the Dealer, disentitles the Dealer to registration pursuant to section 6(1)(d)(iii) of the Act.

98. Yousef’s past conduct, as an interested person of the Dealer, disentitles the Dealer to registration pursuant to section 6(1)(d)(iii) of the Act.

99. The Dealer's breaches of conditions of registration disentitles it to registration pursuant to section 6(1)(f) of the Act.

RIGHT TO A HEARING

Section 9(2) of the Act provides that an Applicant/Registrant is entitled to a hearing by the Licence Appeal Tribunal in respect of this proposal, if **WITHIN 15 DAYS** after service of this proposal, the Applicant/Registrant mails or delivers a written request for a hearing, to the following parties:

Licence Appeal Tribunal
Tribunals Ontario
General Services
15 Grosvenor Street, Ground Floor
Toronto, ON M7A 2G6
Email: LATRegistrar@ontario.ca

- AND TO -

Ontario Motor Vehicle Industry Council (OMVIC)
Registrar, *Motor Vehicle Dealers Act, 2002*
65 Overlea Blvd., Suite 300
Toronto, ON M4H 1P1
Email: Legal_Dept@omvic.on.ca

Note that Section 9(4) of the Act provides that where the Applicant/Registrant does not require a hearing by the Tribunal in accordance with subsection 9(2), the Registrar may carry out the proposal to refuse/revoke/suspend the registration, without further notice to you.

Section 9(5) of the Act provides that where an Applicant/Registrant requires a hearing, the Tribunal shall schedule a hearing. At that time, the Tribunal may order the Registrar to carry out, or refrain from carrying out this proposal, or may order that the Registrar take such action as the Tribunal considers appropriate, in accordance with the Act and the regulations.

Section 9 (5) of the Act provides that the Tribunal may attach such terms and conditions to its Order or to the registration, as it considers proper to give effect to the purposes of the Act.

APPLICATION OF THE *STATUTORY POWERS PROCEDURE ACT*

The *Statutory Powers Procedure Act*, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter S.22, as amended, applies to the hearing to be held by this Tribunal. A party to a proceeding may be represented by counsel or an agent.

The Registrar states that the good character, propriety of conduct or competence of the Applicant/Registrant shall be an issue in any hearing before the Tribunal and the Registrar has, therefore, furnished herein reasonable information of allegations with respect thereto.

APPLICATION OF THE COMMON RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE FOR THE LICENCE APPEAL TRIBUNAL, ANIMAL CARE REVIEW BOARD, and FIRE SAFETY COMMISSION

This is to serve as notice that the Registrar shall make application for its costs pursuant to Rule 19 of the Common Rules of Practice.

FURTHER PARTICULARS/SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE

The Registrar may provide further and other particulars in respect of any other matters herein or in respect to any other matter including further grounds for refusal/revocation/suspension of registration.

DATED at Toronto, this 21st day of December, 2025.

Maureen Harquail, KC, MPA, ICD.D.
Registrar
Motor Vehicle Dealers Act, 2002